

**ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ҒЫЛЫМ ЖӘНЕ ЖОҒАРЫ БІЛІМ МИНИСТРЛІГІ**

**«Л.Н. ГУМИЛЕВ АТЫНДАҒЫ ЕУРАЗИЯ ҰЛТТЫҚ УНИВЕРСИТЕТІ» КЕАҚ**

**Студенттер мен жас ғалымдардың  
«GYLYM JÁNE BILIM - 2023»  
XVIII Халықаралық ғылыми конференциясының  
БАЯНДАМАЛАР ЖИНАҒЫ**

**СБОРНИК МАТЕРИАЛОВ  
XVIII Международной научной конференции  
студентов и молодых ученых  
«GYLYM JÁNE BILIM - 2023»**

**PROCEEDINGS  
of the XVIII International Scientific Conference  
for students and young scholars  
«GYLYM JÁNE BILIM - 2023»**

**2023  
Астана**

**УДК 001+37**  
**ББК 72+74**  
**G99**

**«GYLYM JÁNE BILIM – 2023» студенттер мен жас ғалымдардың  
XVIII Халықаралық ғылыми конференциясы = XVIII  
Международная научная конференция студентов и молодых  
ученых «GYLYM JÁNE BILIM – 2023» = The XVIII International  
Scientific Conference for students and young scholars «GYLYM JÁNE  
BILIM – 2023». – Астана: – 6865 б. - қазақша, орысша, ағылшынша.**

**ISBN 978-601-337-871-8**

Жинаққа студенттердің, магистранттардың, докторанттардың және жас ғалымдардың жаратылыстану-техникалық және гуманитарлық ғылымдардың өзекті мәселелері бойынша баяндамалары енгізілген.

The proceedings are the papers of students, undergraduates, doctoral students and young researchers on topical issues of natural and technical sciences and humanities.

В сборник вошли доклады студентов, магистрантов, докторантов и молодых ученых по актуальным вопросам естественно-технических и гуманитарных наук.

**УДК 001+37**  
**ББК 72+74**

**ISBN 978-601-337-871-8**

**©Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия  
ұлттық университеті, 2023**

5. Бексултанов А.А. және т.б. Анализ факторов, влияющих на качество государственного аудита в Казахстане [электрондық ресурс] URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/analiz-faktorov-vliayuschih-na-kachestvo-gosudarstvennogo-audita-v-kazahstane?ysclid=191911ahoe949494575>

**6. Мемлекет басшысы Қасым-Жомарт Тоқаевтың Қазақстан халқына Жолдауы - Мемлекет басшысы Қасым-Жомарт Тоқаевтың Қазақстан халқына Жолдауы — Қазақстан Республикасы Президентінің ресми сайты (akorda.kz)**

## COMPARING PUBLIC SECTOR ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN EU MEMBER STATES

*Shoinbaev.A.M*

2nd year student of the "State Audit" education program  
Eurasian National University named after L.N. Gumilev  
Astana, Republic of Kazakhstan  
E-mail: [arturshoinbaev@mail.ru](mailto:arturshoinbaev@mail.ru)

*Beisenova Lyazzat Ziyadenovna*

Associate Professor, Candidate of Economic Sciences  
Eurasian National University named after L.N. Gumilev  
Astana, Republic of Kazakhstan

Public sector accounting and financial management practices in EU member states are essential for ensuring effective management of public resources. As these practices can vary greatly due to political, economic, and cultural factors, it is essential to compare and contrast them to identify similarities, differences, and areas for improvement. In this article, I will present a comparison of public sector accounting and financial management practices in EU member states, identify areas for improvement, and provide recommendations for policymakers and government officials.

The research methodology used for this analysis involved a review of existing literature on public sector accounting and financial management practices in EU member states. I analyzed relevant reports, academic journals, and government publications to evaluate the accounting and financial management practices of different EU member states. The criteria used to evaluate the practices included accounting standards, budgeting systems, financial reporting, and audit systems[1].

The comparison of public sector accounting and financial management practices in EU member states is presented in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Comparison of Public Sector Accounting and Financial Management Practices in EU Member States

EU Member State	Accounting Standards	Budgeting System	Financial Reporting	Audit System
1	2	3	4	5
Germany	HGB, IPSAS	Dual Budget System	Annual Financial Statements	Internal and External Audit

France	COGAP, IPSAS	Multi-year Budget System	Annual Financial Statements	Internal and External Audit
--------	--------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

Continued Table 1

1	2	3	4	5
France	COGAP, IPSAS	Multi-year Budget System	Annual Financial Statements	Internal and External Audit
Italy	IPSAS	Annual Budget System	Annual Financial Statements	Internal and External Audit
Spain	IPSAS	Multi-year Budget System	Annual Financial Statements	Internal and External Audit
Netherlands	IPSAS	Multi-year Budget System	Annual Financial Statements	Internal and External Audit
Note - compiled by the author on the basis of the source[2]				

As shown in Table 1, there are similarities and differences among the public sector accounting and financial management practices of EU member states. Many countries use IPSAS as their accounting standards, and they have similar financial reporting requirements. However, there are also differences in the budgeting systems used by each country. Germany and France use a dual and multi-year budget system, respectively, while Italy and Spain use an annual budget system.

In terms of audit systems, all countries listed in Table 1 have both internal and external audit systems. However, the specifics of those systems can vary greatly. For example, in Germany, the Federal Court of Auditors is responsible for auditing federal government accounts, while in Italy, the Court of Auditors is responsible for auditing all public accounts.

#### Areas for Improvement:

Based on our analysis, there are several areas for improvement in the public sector accounting and financial management practices of EU member states. These include adopting more advanced accounting standards, improving budgeting and financial planning processes, and enhancing audit systems.

One area for improvement is the adoption of accrual accounting standards. While most EU member states use IPSAS, some still rely on cash-based accounting, which can result in inaccurate financial reporting and limited transparency. Accrual accounting standards provide a more accurate and comprehensive view of public finances and enable better decision-making.

Another area for improvement is the budgeting process. Many EU member states use outdated budgeting systems, which can lead to inflexibility and inadequate resource allocation. By adopting more modern and flexible budgeting systems, governments can better allocate resources and respond to changing circumstances.

Finally, enhancing audit systems can improve transparency and accountability in the management of public finances. This includes strengthening internal audit systems and ensuring that external auditors are independent and have the necessary resources to carry out their work effectively.

To improve public sector accounting and financial management practices in Kazakhstan, we recommend that the government actively seek to apply the experience and best practices of EU

member states in this area. One way to do this is by encouraging knowledge sharing and collaboration among different government agencies in Kazakhstan.

For example, Kazakhstan can learn from the experience of countries like Sweden and Norway, which have highly developed public sector accounting and financial management practices. These countries have established comprehensive financial reporting systems, including accrual-based accounting and performance measurement systems. By sharing their best practices, Kazakhstan can adopt similar systems and practices to enhance transparency, accountability, and efficiency in the management of public resources.

Additionally, Kazakhstan can collaborate with EU member states on joint research projects and partnerships with universities or research institutions. For instance, Kazakhstan can collaborate with universities in countries like the UK and Germany that offer programs in public sector accounting and financial management. By participating in EU-funded training and capacity building programs, Kazakhstan can learn from the experiences of EU member states and improve its own practices.

In conclusion, public sector accounting and financial management practices in EU member states are essential for ensuring effective management of public resources. By comparing and contrasting the accounting and financial management practices of different EU member states, I can identify areas for improvement and provide recommendations for policymakers and government officials. Adopting accrual accounting standards, modernizing budgeting systems, enhancing audit systems, promoting the use of technology, and encouraging knowledge sharing and collaboration can help improve public sector accounting and financial management practices in EU member states.

#### **Literature:**

1. Sánchez, P., Lainez, J. A., & Callado, M. (2019). Public Sector Accounting Reforms in European Union Countries: Between the European Semester and National Influences. *Sustainability*, 11(23), 6665, [Electronic resource] URL: <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11236665>
2. International Monetary Fund. (2016). *Fiscal Transparency Handbook* URL: <https://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2016/101316.pdf>