



On submajorisation of the Rotfeld's inequality

Maktagul Alday^a, Serik Kudaibergenov^a

^aFaculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University

Abstract. Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a semi-finite von Neumann algebra, $L_0(\mathcal{M})$ be the set of all τ -measurable operators, $\mu_t(x)$ be the generalized singular number of $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$. We proved that if $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an increasing continuous function, then for any x, y in $L_0(\mathcal{M})$,

$$\mu_t(g(|x + y|)) \leq \mu_t\left(g\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right), \quad 0 < t < \tau(1).$$

We also obtained that if $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave function, then $\mu\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)$ is submajorized by $\mu(f(|x|)) + \mu(f(|y|))$.

1. Introduction

We denote the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices by \mathbb{M}_n and the Schatten p -norm ($0 < p \leq \infty$) by $\|\cdot\|_p$. Rotfeld [13] proved that if $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$ and $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave function, then

$$\|f(|x + y|)\|_1 \leq \|f(|x|) + f(|y|)\|_1. \quad (1)$$

In the case $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$ are positive semidefinite and $f(t) = t^p$ ($0 < p < 1$), the above inequality follows from a trace inequality in [12]. Ando and Zhan [2] extended (1) as following if x, y are positive semidefinite matrices, $\|\cdot\|$ is a symmetric norm and $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an operator concave functions, then

$$\|f(x + y)\| \leq \|f(x) + f(y)\| \quad (2)$$

holds. Bourin and Uchiyama proved that for concave function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, (2) remain holds (see [3]). In [7], Dodds and Sukochev showed that this inequality continue to hold in the more general setting of measurable operators affiliated with a semi-finite von Neumann algebra.

Uchiyama [15] extended (1) that for any unitarily invariant norm $\|\cdot\|$ and concave function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$\|f(|x + y|)\| \leq \|f(|x|)\| + \|f(|y|)\|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n. \quad (3)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L52; Secondary 47L05

Keywords. Rotfeld's inequality, τ -measurable operator, submajorisation, semifinite von Neumann algebra

Received: 25 November 2022; Accepted: 19 March 2023

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

This research was funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and High Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP14870431)

Email addresses: aldai_m@enu.kz (Maktagul Alday), serik4648@gmail.com (Serik Kudaibergenov)

In [16], the author interpolated (3) by proving that for all unitarily invariant norms $\|\cdot\|$ and concave functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$\|f(|x+y|)\| \leq \|f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x|+|y| & x^*+y^* \\ x+y & |x^*|+|y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\| \leq \|f(|x|)\| + \|f(|y|)\|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n. \quad (4)$$

In [10], (1) is extended by Lee to the whole class of unitarily invariant norms $\|\cdot\|$ and concave functions $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,

$$\|f\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}\right)\| \leq \|f(|x|)\| + \|f(|y|)\|, \quad 0 \leq \begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{M}_n). \quad (5)$$

In this paper, we prove that if $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an increasing continuous function, then for any τ -measurable operators x, y ,

$$\mu_t(g(|x+y|)) \leq \mu_t\left(g\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x|+|y| & x^*+y^* \\ x+y & |x^*|+|y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \quad 0 < t < \tau(1).$$

As application, we extend (4) to the τ -measurable operators case. We also proved the τ -measurable operators analogue of (5).

2. Preliminaries

We denote by $L_0(0, \alpha)$ the space of Lebesgue measurable real-valued functions f on $(0, \alpha)$ and define the decreasing rearrangement function $f^* : (0, \alpha) \mapsto (0, \alpha)$ for $f \in L_0(0, \alpha)$ by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mu(\{\omega \in (0, \alpha) : |f(\omega)| > s\}) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

If $f, g \in L_0(0, \alpha)$ satisfy that $\int_0^t f^*(s)ds \leq \int_0^t g^*(s)ds$ for all $t \geq 0$, we say that f is *submajorized* by g , denote by $f \leq g$. Let E be a Banach subspace of $L_0(0, \alpha)$, simply called a Banach function space on $(0, \alpha)$ in the sequel. E is called to be *symmetric* if, for $f \in E$ and $g \in L_0(0, \alpha)$ such that $g^*(t) \leq f^*(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$, one has $g \in E$ and $\|g\|_E \leq \|f\|_E$; E is *fully symmetric* if, for $f \in L_0(0, \alpha)$ and $g \in E$ such that $f \leq g$, we have $f \in E$ and $\|f\|_E \leq \|g\|_E$.

Throughout this paper, \mathcal{M} always denotes a semi-finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal semi-finite trace τ . The set of all τ -measurable operators is denoted by $L_0(\mathcal{M})$. For $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$, we define the *distribution function* $\lambda(x)$ of x by $\lambda_t(x) = \tau(e_{(t, \infty)}(|x|))$ for $t > 0$, where $e_{(t, \infty)}(|x|)$ is the spectral projection of $|x|$ in the interval (t, ∞) , and define the generalized singular numbers $\mu(x)$ of x by $\mu_t(x) = \inf\{s > 0 : \lambda_s(x) \leq t\}$ for $t > 0$. It is easy to check that $\mu_t(x) = 0$, for all $t \geq \tau(1)$. For further information about elementary properties of the generalized singular numbers, we refer the reader to [8].

Given a symmetric Banach function space E on $(0, \alpha)$ ($\tau(1) = \alpha$), the space

$$E(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}) : \|\mu(x)\|_E < \infty\}$$

is a Banach space under the norm $\|x\|_E = \|\mu(x)\|_E$, denoted by $E(\mathcal{M})$ for convenience. It is called noncommutative symmetric space. If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $E = L_p(0, \alpha)$, then $E(\mathcal{M}) = L_p(\mathcal{M})$, which are the usual noncommutative L_p -spaces associated with (\mathcal{M}, τ) . For more details on noncommutative symmetric spaces, see [6, 11].

We remark that if $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{M}_n$ and τ is the standard trace, then

$$\mu_t(x) = s_j(x), \quad t \in [j-1, j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Hence, if $x, y \in \mathbb{M}_n$, then $\mu(y) \leq \mu(x)$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^k s_j(y) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k s_j(x), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Let x, y be self-adjoint elements of \mathcal{M} , we say that x spectrally dominates y , denoted by $y \leq x$, if $e_{(\alpha, \infty)}(y)$ is equivalent, in the sense of Murray-von Neumann, to a subprojection of $e_{(\alpha, \infty)}(x)$ for every real number α (see [5]).

We will use the following result (see [9, Lemma 2.2]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix}$ be a matrix with entries in \mathcal{M} . Then $\begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ z^* & y \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ if and only if $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ and there exists a contraction a such that $z = x^{\frac{1}{2}}ay^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

3. Main results

We denote by $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M})$ the semifinite von Neumann algebra

$$\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, x_{i,j} \in \mathcal{M}, i, j = 1, 2 \right\}$$

on Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ with trace $Tr \otimes \tau$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a convex function. If $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$, then $\mu(f(|x + y|)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(f(|x| + |y|)) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(f(|x^*| + |y^*|))$.

Proof. Suppose that $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $x = u|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x . Then $x = |x^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}u|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\begin{pmatrix} |x^*| & x \\ x^* & |x| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$. Similarly, $\begin{pmatrix} |y^*| & y \\ y^* & |y| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$, and so $\begin{pmatrix} |x^*| + |y^*| & x + y \\ x^* + y^* & |x| + |y| \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$. Also by Lemma 2.1, there is a contraction b such that $x + y = (|x^*| + |y^*|)^{\frac{1}{2}}b(|x| + |y|)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We use [8, Lemma 2.5, Theorem 4.2(iii)] to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu_s(f(|x + y|))ds &= \int_0^t f(\mu_s(|x + y|))ds \\ &= \int_0^t f(\mu_s((|x^*| + |y^*|)^{\frac{1}{2}}b(|x| + |y|)^{\frac{1}{2}}))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t f(\mu_s((|x^*| + |y^*|)^{\frac{1}{2}}))\mu_s(b(|x| + |y|)^{\frac{1}{2}})ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t f(\mu_s(|x^*| + |y^*|)^{\frac{1}{2}})\mu_s(|x| + |y|)^{\frac{1}{2}}ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s(|x^*| + |y^*|) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s(|x| + |y|)\right)ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2}f(\mu_s(|x^*| + |y^*|)) + \frac{1}{2}f(\mu_s(|x| + |y|))\right]ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2}\mu_s(f(|x^*| + |y^*|)) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_s(f(|x| + |y|))\right]ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$. Set $x_n = xe_{[0,n]}(|x|)$ and $y_n = ye_{[0,n]}(|y|)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ in measure (see [8]). It follows that

$$|x_n| \rightarrow |x|, \quad |y_n| \rightarrow |y|$$

in measure (see [14]). On the other hand,

$$|x_n| = |x|e_{[0,n]}(|x|) \leq |x|, \quad |(x_n)^*| \leq |x^*|, \quad |y_n| = |y|e_{[0,n]}(|y|) \leq |y|, \quad |(y_n)^*| \leq |y^*|. \tag{6}$$

Applying [8, Lemma 3.4], usual Fatou’s lemma, the first case and (6), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu_s(f(|x + y|))ds &\leq \int_0^t \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_s(f(|x_n + y_n|))ds \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \mu_s(f(|x_n + y_n|))ds \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu_s(f(|x_n^*| + |y_n^*|)) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(f(|x_n| + |y_n|)) \right] ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu_s(f(|x^*| + |y^*|)) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_s(f(|x| + |y|)) \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0, \alpha)$. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a convex function, then

$$\|f(|x + y|)\|_E \leq \max\{\|f(|x| + |y|)\|_E, \|f(|x^*| + |y^*|)\|_E\}, \quad x, y \in E(\mathcal{M}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(|x + y|)\|_E &= \|\mu(f(|x + y|))\|_E \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{2} \mu(f(|x| + |y|)) + \frac{1}{2} \mu(f(|x^*| + |y^*|)) \right\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mu(f(|x| + |y|))\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|\mu(f(|x^*| + |y^*|))\|_E \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|f(|x| + |y|)\|_E + \frac{1}{2} \|f(|x^*| + |y^*|)\|_E \\ &\leq \max\{\|f(|x| + |y|)\|_E, \|f(|x^*| + |y^*|)\|_E\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. If $x \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}_- = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & -x^* \\ -x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is Hermitian operator and $\left| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right| = \begin{pmatrix} |x| & 0 \\ 0 & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} |x| & 0 \\ 0 & |x^*| \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second result follows analogously. □

Lemma 3.4. If $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^* + y^*| \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\mu_t \left(\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^* + y^*| \end{pmatrix} \right) \leq \mu_t \left(\begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is Hermitian operator and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^* \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] + \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^* \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^* \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]_+ + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^* \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]_- + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^* \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

By minimality of the Jordan decomposition (see [5, Lemma 6]), we get that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & 0 \end{pmatrix}_+ \leq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^* \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}_+ + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^* \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}_+.$$

Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain the desired result. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$. If $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an increasing continuous function, then

$$\mu_t(g(|x + y|)) \leq \mu_t\left(g\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right), \quad 0 < t < \tau(1).$$

Proof. Using [8, Lemma 2.5] and [1, Lemma 2.1], we get that for $0 < t < \tau(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(g(|x + y|)) &= g(\mu_t(|x + y|)) = g\left(\mu_t\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= g\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_t\left(\left\|\begin{pmatrix} |x + y|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ |x + y|^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\|^2\right)\right) \\ &= g\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_t\left(\left\|\begin{pmatrix} |x + y|^{\frac{1}{2}} & |x + y|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right\|^2\right)\right) \\ &= g\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_t\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & |x + y| \\ |x + y| & |x + y| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $x + y = u|x + y|$ be the polar decomposition of $x + y$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & |x + y| \\ |x + y| & |x + y| \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^* + y^*| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, by [8, Lemma 2.5] and Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t(g(|x + y|)) &= g\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_t\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & |x + y| \\ |x + y| & |x + y| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &\leq g\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_t\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x + y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^* + y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &\leq g\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_t\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \mu_t\left(g\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 3.6. Let $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave function, then

$$\mu(f(|x + y|)) \leq \mu\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \leq \mu(f(|x|)) + \mu(f(|y|)).$$

Proof. We use Theorem 3.5 and [7, Theorem 5.3], we obtain that for $0 < t < \tau(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu_s(f(|x + y|))ds &\leq \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &= \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |y| & y^* \\ y & |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |y| & y^* \\ y & |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds + \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |y| & y^* \\ y & |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = u|x|$ and $y = v|y|$ be the polar decompositions of x and y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \mu_s(f(|x + y|))ds &\leq \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds + \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |y| & y^* \\ y & |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &= \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x| & x^* \\ x & |x^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds + \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} |y| & y^* \\ y & |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &= \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & |x| \\ |x| & |x| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |y| & |y| \\ |y| & |y| \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v^* \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x| & |x| \\ |x| & |x| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds + \int_0^t f\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} |y| & |y| \\ |y| & |y| \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &= \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} |x| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds + \int_0^t \mu_s\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} |y| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right)ds \\ &= \int_0^t [\mu_s(f(|x|)) + \mu_s(f(|y|))]ds. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.7. Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0, \alpha)$. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave function, then

$$\|f(|x + y|)\|_E \leq \|f\left(\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} |x| + |y| & x^* + y^* \\ x + y & |x^*| + |y^*| \end{pmatrix}\right)\|_E \leq \|f(|x|)\|_E + \|f(|y|)\|_E, \quad x, y \in E(\mathcal{M}).$$

We use the method in the proof of [4, Lemma 3.4] to obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.8. Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a concave function. If $\begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}$ is positive operator in $L_0(\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M}))$, then

$$\mu\left(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \leq \mu(f(x)) + \mu(f(y)).$$

Proof. Since $\begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}$ is positive operator in $L_0(\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M}))$, there is a positive $\begin{pmatrix} a & c^* \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} \in L_0(\mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{M}))$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & c^* \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c^* \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c^* \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left| \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right|^2 + \left| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By [7, Theorem 5.3] and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t \mu_s(f\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & z^* \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}\right)) ds &= \int_0^t \mu_s(f\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right|^2 + \left|\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right)) ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^t \mu_s(f\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right)) + f\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right)) ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^t [\mu_s(f\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right)) + \mu_s(f\left(\left|\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}\right|^2\right))] ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^t [f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)^2) + f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}\right)^2)] ds \\
 &\leq \int_0^t [f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)^2) + f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & b \end{pmatrix}\right)^2)] ds \\
 &= \int_0^t [f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} a^2 + c^*c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right))] + f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cc^* + b^2 \end{pmatrix}\right))] ds \\
 &= \int_0^t [f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right))] + f(\mu_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}\right))] ds \\
 &= \int_0^t [\mu_s(f(x)) + \mu_s(f(y))] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.9. Let $x, y \in L_0(\mathcal{M})$. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave function, then

$$\mu(f(|x + y|)) \leq \mu\left(f\left(\frac{|x| + |y|}{2}\right)\right) + \mu\left(f\left(\frac{|x^*| + |y^*|}{2}\right)\right).$$

Proof. Since $\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{|x|+|y|}{2} & \frac{x^*+y^*}{2} \\ \frac{x+y}{2} & \frac{|x^*|+|y^*|}{2} \end{matrix}\right) \geq 0$, using Theorem 3.5 and 3.8, we obtain the desired result. □

Corollary 3.10. Let E be a fully symmetric Banach function space on $(0, \alpha)$. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a concave function, then

$$\|f(|x + y|)\|_E \leq \|f\left(\frac{|x| + |y|}{2}\right)\|_E + \|f\left(\frac{|x^*| + |y^*|}{2}\right)\|_E, \quad x, y \in E(\mathcal{M}).$$

References

- [1] R. Ahat and M. Raikhan, Submajorization inequalities for matrices of τ -measurable operators, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* (2020), DOI: 10.1080/03081087.2020.1828248
- [2] T. Ando and X. Zhan, Norm inequalities related to operator monotone functions, *Math. Ann.* 315 (1999), 771-780.
- [3] J.-C. Bourin and M. Uchiyama, A matrix subadditivity inequality for $f(A + B)$ and $f(A) + f(B)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 423 (2007), 512-518.
- [4] J.-C. Bourin and E.-Y. Lee, Unitary orbits of Hermitian operators with convex and concave functions, *Bull London Math Soc.* 44 (2012), 1085-1102.
- [5] L.G. Brown and H. Kosaki, Jensens inequality in semi-finite von Neumann algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 23 (1990) 3-19.
- [6] P. G. Dodds, T. K. Dodds, and B. de Pagter, Noncommutative Banach function spaces, *Math. Z.* 201 (1989), 583-587.
- [7] P. G. Dodds and F.A. Sukochev, Submajorisation inequalities for convex and concave functions of sums of measurable operators, *Positivity* 13(1) (2009), 107-124.
- [8] T. Fack, H. Kosaki, Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators, *Pac. J. Math.* 123 (1986), 269-300.
- [9] Y. Han, Submajorization and p -norm inequalities associated with τ -measurable operators, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 65 (11)(2017), 2199-2211.
- [10] E.-Y. Lee, Extension of Rotfel'd Theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011) 735-741.
- [11] S. Lord, F. A. Sukochev and D. Zanin, Singular traces, Theory and applications, *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics* 46, 2021.
- [12] Ch. A. McCarthy, C_p , *Israel J. Math.* 5(1967), 249-271.
- [13] S. J. Rotfel'd, The singular values of a sum of completely continuous operators, *Top. Math. Phys.* 3 (1969), 73-78.
- [14] O. E. Tikhonov, Continuity of operator functions in topologies connected to a trace on a von Neumann algebra, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.*, 1987, no. 1, 77-79; *Soviet Math. (Iz. VUZ)* 31(1) (1987), 110-114.
- [15] M. Uchiyama, Subadditivity inequality of eigenvalue sums, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2005), 1405-1412.
- [16] Y. Zhang, Interpolating the Rotfel'd inequality for unitarily invariant norms, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 574 (2019) 60-66.