ISSN 2518-170X (Online), ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ҰЛТТЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМ АКАДЕМИЯСЫ

Satbayev University

ХАБАРЛАРЫ

ИЗВЕСТИЯ

НАЦИОНАЛЬНОЙ АКАДЕМИИ НАУК РЕСПУБЛИКИ КАЗАХСТАН Satbayev University

NEWS

OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN Satbayev University

SERIES

OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES

3 (453) MAY - JUNE 2022

THE JOURNAL WAS FOUNDED IN 1940

PUBLISHED 6 TIMES A YEAR

ALMATY, NAS RK



NAS RK is pleased to announce that News of NAS RK. Series of geology and technical sciences scientific journal has been accepted for indexing in the Emerging Sources Citation Index, a new edition of Web of Science. Content in this index is under consideration by Clarivate Analytics to be accepted in the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index, and the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. The quality and depth of content Web of Science offers to researchers, authors, publishers, and institutions sets it apart from other research databases. The inclusion of News of NAS RK. Series of geology and technical sciences in the Emerging Sources Citation Index demonstrates our dedication to providing the most relevant and influential content of geology and engineering sciences to our community.

Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық ғылым академиясы «ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы» ғылыми журналының Web of Science-тің жаңаланған нұсқасы Emerging Sources Citation Index-те индекстелуге қабылданғанын хабарлайды. Бұл индекстелу барысында Clarivate Analytics компаниясы журналды одан әрі the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index және the Arts & Humanities Citation Index-ке қабылдау мәселесін қарастыруда. Webof Science зерттеушілер, авторлар, баспашылар мен мекемелерге контент тереңдігі мен сапасын ұсынады. ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы Emerging Sources Citation Index-ке енуі біздің қоғамдастық үшін ең өзекті және беделді геология және техникалық ғылымдар бойынша контентке адалдығымызды білдіреді.

НАН РК сообщает, что научный журнал «Известия НАН РК. Серия геологии и технических наук» был принят для индексирования в Emerging Sources Citation Index, обновленной версии Web of Science. Содержание в этом индексировании находится в стадии рассмотрения компанией Clarivate Analytics для дальнейшего принятия журнала в the Science Citation Index Expanded, the Social Sciences Citation Index u the Arts & Humanities Citation Index. Web of Science предлагает качество и глубину контента для исследователей, авторов, издателей и учреждений. Включение Известия НАН РК. Серия геологии и технических наук в Emerging Sources Citation Index демонстрирует нашу приверженность к наиболее актуальному и влиятельному контенту по геологии и техническим наукам для нашего сообщества.

Бас редактор

ЖҰРЫНОВ Мұрат Жұрынұлы, химия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Қазақстан Республикасы Ұлттық Ғылым академиясының президенті, АҚ «Д.В. Сокольский атындағы отын, катализ және электрохимия институтының» бас директоры (Алматы, Қазақстан) **H** = **4**

АБСАДЫКОВ Бахыт Нарикбайұлы, техника ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА жауапты хатшысы, А.Б. Бектұров атындағы химия ғылымдары институты (Алматы, Қазақстан) H = 5

Редакциялық алқа:

ӘБСАМЕТОВ Мәліс Құдысұлы (бас редактордың орынбасары), геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, «У.М. Ахмедсафина атындағы гидрогеология және геоэкология институтының» директоры (Алматы, Қазақстан) **H** = 2

ЖОЛТАЕВ Герой Жолтайұлы (бас редактордың орынбасары), геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Қ.И. Сатпаев тындағы геология ғылымдары институтының директоры (Алматы, Қазақстан) **H=2**

СНОУ Дэниел, Ph.D, қауымдастырылған профессор, Небраска университетінің Су ғылымдары зертханасының директоры (Небраска штаты, АҚШ) **H** = **32**

ЗЕЛЬТМАН Реймар, Ph.D, табиғи тарих мұражайының Жер туралы ғылымдар бөлімінде петрология және пайдалы қазбалар кен орындары саласындағы зерттеулердің жетекшісі (Лондон, Англия) **H** = **37**

ПАНФИЛОВ Михаил Борисович, техника ғылымдарының докторы, Нанси университетінің профессоры (Нанси, Франция) H=15

ШЕН Пин, Ph.D, Қытай геологиялық қоғамының тау геологиясы комитеті директорының орынбасары, Американдық экономикалық геологтар қауымдастығының мүшесі (Пекин, Қытай) **H** = 25

ФИШЕР Аксель, Ph.D, Дрезден техникалық университетінің қауымдастырылған профессоры (Дрезден, Берлин) H = 6

КОНТОРОВИЧ Алексей Эмильевич, геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, РҒА академигі, А.А. Трофимука атындағы мұнай-газ геологиясы және геофизика институты (Новосибирск, Ресей) H = 19

АГАБЕКОВ Владимир Енокович, химия ғылымдарының докторы, Беларусь ҰҒА академигі, Жаңа материалдар химиясы институтының құрметті директоры (Минск, Беларусь) **H** = **13**

КАТАЛИН Стефан, Ph.D, Дрезден техникалық университетінің қауымдастырылған профессоры (Дрезден, Берлин) **H** = **20**

СЕЙТМҰРАТОВА Элеонора Юсуповна, геология-минералогия ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА корреспондент-мүшесі, Қ.И. Сатпаев атындағы Геология ғылымдары институты зертханасының меңгерушісі (Алматы, Қазақстан) H=11

САҒЫНТАЕВ Жанай, Ph.D, қауымдастырылған профессор, Назарбаев университеті (Нұр-Сұлтан, Қазақстан) H = 11

ФРАТТИНИ Паоло, Ph.D, Бикокк Милан университеті қауымдастырылған профессоры (Милан, Италия) H = 28

«ҚР ҰҒА Хабарлары. Геология және техникалық ғылымдар сериясы». ISSN 2518-170X (Online),

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Меншіктеуші: «Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы» РҚБ (Алматы қ.). Қазақстан Республикасының Ақпарат және қоғамдық даму министрлігінің Ақпарат комитетінде 29.07.2020 ж. берілген № КZ39VРY00025420 мерзімдік басылым тіркеуіне қойылу туралы куәлік. Тақырыптық бағыты: геология, мұнай және газды өңдеудің химиялық технологиялары, мұнай химиясы, металдарды алу және олардың қосындыларының технологиясы.

Мерзімділігі: жылына 6 рет.

Тиражы: 300 дана.

Редакцияның мекен-жайы: 050010, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28, 219 бөл., тел.: 272-13-19 http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

© Қазақстан Республикасының Ұлттық ғылым академиясы, 2022

Типографияның мекен-жайы: «Аруна» ЖК, Алматы қ., Мұратбаев көш., 75.

Главный редактор

ЖУРИНОВ Мурат Журинович, доктор химических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, президент Национальной академии наук Республики Казахстан, генеральный директор АО «Институт топлива, катализа и электрохимии им. Д.В. Сокольского» (Алматы, Казахстан) **H** = **4**

АБСАДЫКОВ Бахыт Нарикбаевич, доктор технических наук, профессор, ответственный секретарь НАН РК, Институт химических наук им. А.Б. Бектурова (Алматы, Казахстан) H = 5

Редакционная коллегия:

АБСАМЕТОВ Малис Кудысович, (заместитель главного редактора), доктор геологоминералогических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, директор Института гидрогеологии и геоэкологии им. У.М. Ахмедсафина (Алматы, Казахстан) **H** = **2**

ЖОЛТАЕВ Герой Жолтаевич, (заместитель главного редактора), доктор геологоминералогических наук, профессор, директор Института геологических наук им. К.И. Сатпаева (Алматы, Казахстан) H=2

СНОУ Дэниел, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, директор Лаборатории водных наук университета Небраски (штат Небраска, США) **H** = **32**

ЗЕЛЬТМАН Реймар, Ph.D, руководитель исследований в области петрологии и месторождений полезных ископаемых в Отделе наук о Земле Музея естественной истории (Лондон, Англия) **H** = **37**

ПАНФИЛОВ Михаил Борисович, доктор технических наук, профессор Университета Нанси (Нанси, Франция) H=15

ШЕН Пин, Ph.D, заместитель директора Комитета по горной геологии Китайского геологического общества, член Американской ассоциации экономических геологов (Пекин, Китай) **H** = 25

ФИШЕР Аксель, ассоциированный профессор, Ph.D, технический университет Дрезден (Дрезден, Берлин) H = 6

КОНТОРОВИЧ Алексей Эмильевич, доктор геолого-минералогических наук, профессор, академик РАН, Институт нефтегазовой геологии и геофизики им. А.А. Трофимука СО РАН (Новосибирск, Россия) H = 19

АГАБЕКОВ Владимир Енокович, доктор химических наук, академик НАН Беларуси, почетный директор Института химии новых материалов (Минск, Беларусь) **H** = **13**

КАТАЛИН Стефан, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, Технический университет (Дрезден, Берлин) H = 20

СЕЙТМУРАТОВА Элеонора Юсуповна, доктор геолого-минералогических наук, профессор, член-корреспондент НАН РК, заведующая лаборатории Института геологических наук им. К.И. Сатпаева (Алматы, Казахстан) H=11

САГИНТАЕВ Жанай, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, Назарбаев университет (Нурсултан, Казахстан) H = 11

ФРАТТИНИ Паоло, Ph.D, ассоциированный профессор, Миланский университет Бикокк (Милан, Италия) **H** = 28

«Известия НАН РК. Серия геологии и технических наук».

ISSN 2518-170X (Online),

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Собственник: Республиканское общественное объединение «Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан» (г. Алматы).

Свидетельство о постановке на учет периодического печатного издания в Комитете информации Министерства информации и общественного развития Республики Казахстан № КZ39VPY00025420, выданное 29.07.2020 г.

Тематическая направленность: геология, химические технологии переработки нефти и газа, нефтехимия, технологии извлечения металлов и их соеденений.

Периодичность: 6 раз в год.

Тираж: 300 экземпляров.

Адрес редакции: 050010, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, оф. 219, тел.: 272-13-19

http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

© Национальная академия наук Республики Казахстан, 2022

Адрес типографии: ИП «Аруна», г. Алматы, ул. Муратбаева, 75.

Editorial chief

ZHURINOV Murat Zhurinovich, doctor of chemistry, professor, academician of NAS RK, president of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, general director of JSC "Institute of fuel, catalysis and electrochemistry named after D.V. Sokolsky» (Almaty, Kazakhstan) H = 4

ABSADYKOV Bakhyt Narikbaevich, doctor of technical sciences, professor, executive secretary of NAS RK, Bekturov Institute of chemical sciences (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H** = **5**

Editorial board:

ABSAMETOV Malis Kudysovich, (deputy editor-in-chief), doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, academician of NAS RK, director of the Akhmedsafin Institute of hydrogeology and hydrophysics (Almaty, Kazakhstan) **H=2**

ZHOLTAEV Geroy Zholtaevich, (deputy editor-in-chief), doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, director of the institute of geological sciences named after K.I. Satpayev (Almaty, Kazakhstan) H=2

SNOW Daniel, Ph.D, associate professor, director of the laboratory of water sciences, Nebraska University (Nebraska, USA) H = 32

ZELTMAN Reymar, Ph.D, head of research department in petrology and mineral deposits in the Earth sciences section of the museum of natural history (London, England) H = 37

PANFILOV Mikhail Borisovich, doctor of technical sciences, professor at the Nancy University (Nancy, France) H=15

SHEN Ping, Ph.D, deputy director of the Committee for Mining geology of the China geological Society, Fellow of the American association of economic geologists (Beijing, China) H = 25

FISCHERAxel, Ph.D, associate professor, Dresden University of technology (Dresden, Germany) H=6 KONTOROVICH Aleksey Emilievich, doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, academician of RAS, Trofimuk Institute of petroleum geology and geophysics SB RAS (Novosibirsk, Russia) H = 19

AGABEKOV Vladimir Enokovich, doctor of chemistry, academician of NAS of Belarus, honorary director of the Institute of chemistry of new materials (Minsk, Belarus) H = 13

KATALIN Stephan, Ph.D, associate professor, Technical university (Dresden, Berlin) H = 20

SEITMURATOVA Eleonora Yusupovna, doctor of geological and mineralogical sciences, professor, corresponding member of NAS RK, head of the laboratory of the Institute of geological sciences named after K.I. Satpayev (Almaty, Kazakhstan) H=11

SAGINTAYEV Zhanay, Ph.D, associate professor, Nazarbayev University (Nursultan, Kazakhstan) H = 11

FRATTINI Paolo, Ph.D, associate professor, university of Milano-Bicocca (Milan, Italy) H = 28

News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Series of geology and technology sciences.

ISSN 2518-170X (Online),

ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Owner: RPA «National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan» (Almaty).

The certificate of registration of a periodical printed publication in the Committee of information of the Ministry of Information and Social Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan **No. KZ39VPY00025420**, issued 29.07.2020.

Thematic scope: geology, chemical technologies for oil and gas processing, petrochemistry, technologies for extracting metals and their connections.

Periodicity: 6 times a year.

Circulation: 300 copies.

Editorial address: 28, Shevchenko str., of. 219, Almaty, 050010, tel. 272-13-19

http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/

© National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, 2022

Address of printing house: ST «Aruna», 75, Muratbayev str, Almaty.

NEWS of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

NEWS of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan SERIES OF GEOLOGY AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES ISSN 2224-5278 Volume 3, Number 453 (2022), 212-225 https://doi.org/10.32014/2022.2518-170X.191

UDC 625.731.2

R.E. Lukpanov*, A.S. Yenkebayeva, D.V. Tsygulyov, Y.Y. Sabitov, D.S. Dyussembinov

L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan. E-mail: rauan_82@mail.ru

ASSESSMENT OF ASH-STORAGE COOLECTOR STABILITY USING GEOSYNTHETIC REINFORCEMENT ELEMENTS BY TRAY TESTING AND NUMERICAL MODELING

Abstract. The paper presents the results of the assessment of the stability of the embankment represented by ash storage collector (ASC) located on the territory of the thermal power plant of TPP1, metallurgical plant in Temirtau (Kazakhstan). The purpose of the study was to assess the effect of reinforcement elements on the overall stability of the embankment, based on comparisons of the stress-strain state of reinforced and unreinforced embankments. The technological solution for embankment reinforcement was the use of synthetic polypropylene geogrid. Model tray tests as well as numerical simulations by the finite element method were used in the studies. The tray tests were performed at a scale of 1:30, using equivalent materials selected on the basis of the law of dynamic similarity. Based on the results of the tray tests, functional dependencies characterizing the change in the deformation state of the embankment from the increment of a given displacement were obtained. The obtained dependencies show the deformations (vertical, horizontal and resultant) of different locations from the soil base near the source of the given displacement, through the slope to the embankment crest. Similar dependencies were obtained from numerical reinforcement results. Both studies present comparisons of the deformed states of the unreinforced and reinforced models, from which the effect of the reinforcement elements on the overall stability of the embankment is evaluated. Comparisons of the results of tray tests and numerical simulations are presented. The comparisons are represented by corrective actions, which are expressed by dependencies between the values of ratio coefficients and the distance from the source of a given displacement. The ratio coefficients, in turn, reflect the quantitative difference in the deformed state of the unreinforced from the reinforced embankment. The obtained results make it possible to evaluate the overall stability of the ASC embankment by express numerical simulation, the quality of which will be improved by the introduction of corrective actions obtained on the basis of model, but still insitu tests.

Key words: geosynthetics materials, geogrid, overall stability, embankment, tray tests, numerical modeling.

Р.Е. Лукпанов^{*}, А.С. Енкебаева, Д.В. Цыгулев, Е.Е. Сабитов, Д.С. Дюсембинов

Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университеті, Нұр-Сұлтан, Қазақстан. E-mail: rauan 82@mail.ru

НАУАЛЫҚ СЫНАҚТАР ЖӘНЕ САНДЫҚ МОДЕЛЬДЕУ ӘДІСІМЕН АРМАТУРАЛАУДЫҢ ГЕОСИНТЕТИКАЛЫҚ ЭЛЕМЕНТТЕРІН ҚОЛДАНА ОТЫРЫП, КҮЛ-ҚОҚЫС ЖИНАҒЫШТЫҢ ТҰРАҚТЫЛЫҒЫН БАҒАЛАУ

Аннотация. Мақалада ТЭЦ1 жылу электр орталығы, Теміртау металлургиялық комбинат аумағында орналасқан күл-қож жинағышпен (ЗШН) ұсынылған үйіндінің тұрақтылығын бағалау нәтижелері ұсынылған. Зерттеудің мақсаты арматураланған және арматураланбаған үйінділердің кернеулі-деформацияланған күйін салыстыру негізінде арматуралау элементтерінің үйіндінің жалпы орнықтылығына әсерін бағалау болды. Үйіндіні күшейту бойынша технологиялық шешім полипропиленнен жасалған синтетикалық георешетканы қолдану болды. Зерттеулерде модельдік науа сынақтары, сонымен қатар ақырлы элементтерді сандық модельдеу қолданылды. Науалық сынақтар динамикалық ұқсастық Заңы негізінде іріктелген баламалы материалдарды пайдалана отырып, 1:30 масштабында орындалды. Науалық сынақтардың нәтижелері бойынша үйіндінің деформациялық жай-күйінің берілген ығысудың өсуінен өзгеруін сипаттайтын функционалдық тәуелділіктер алынды. Алынған тәуелділіктер топырақ негізінен берілген ығысу көзіне жақын, көлбеу арқылы жағалаудың жотасына дейін әр түрлі жерлердегі деформацияларды (тік, көлденең және нәтиже беретін) көрсетеді. Осындай тәуелділіктер сандық күшейту нәтижелері бойынша алынды.

Екі зерттеуде де арматураланбаған және арматураланған модельдердің деформацияланған күйлерін салыстыру ұсынылған, олардың негізінде арматуралау элементтерінің үйіндінің жалпы тұрақтылығына әсерін бағалау берілген. Науалық сынақтар мен сандық модельдеу нәтижелерін салыстыру ұсынылған. Салыстырулар арақатынас коэффициенттерінің мәндері мен берілген орын ауыстыру көзінен қашықтық арасындағы тәуелділіктермен көрсетілген түзету әрекеттерімен берілді. Арақатынас коэффициенттері өз кезегінде арматураланбаған деформацияланған күйдің арматураланған үйіндіден сандық айырмашылығын көрсетеді. Алынған нәтижелер сандық модельдеудің экспресс әдісімен ZSHN үйіндісінің жалпы тұрақтылығын бағалауға мүмкіндік береді, оның сапасы модельдік, бірақ әлі де табиғи сынақтар негізінде алынған Түзету әрекеттерін енгізу арқылы жақсарады.

Түйін сөздер: геосинтетикалық материалдар, геогрид, жалпы тұрақтылық, жағалау, науа сынақтары, сандық модельдеу.

Р.Е. Лукпанов^{*}, А.С. Енкебаева, Д.В. Цыгулев, Е.Е. Сабитов, Д.С. Дюсембинов

Евразийский национальный университет им. Л.Н. Гумилева, Нур-Султан, Казахстан E-mail: rauan 82@mail.ru

ОЦЕНКА УСТОЙЧИВОСТИ ЗОЛОШЛАКОНАКОПИТЕЛЯ С ПРИМЕНЕНИЕМ ГЕОСИНТЕТИЧЕСКИХ ЭЛЕМЕНТОВ АРМИРОВАНИЯ МЕТОДОМ ЛОТКОВЫХ ИСПЫТАНИЙ И ЧИСЛЕННОГО МОДЕЛИРОВАНИЯ

Аннотация. В статье представлены результаты оценки устойчивости насыпи, представленной золошлаконакопителем (ЗШН), расположенным натерриториитепловой электрической централи ТЭЦ1, металлургического комбината в г. Темиртау (Казахстан). Целью исследования была оценка влияния элементов армирования на общую устойчивость насыпи на основании сравнений напряженно-деформированного состояния армированной и неармированной насыпей. Технологическим решением по усилению насыпи было применение синтетической георешетки из полипропилена. В исследованиях были использованы модельные лотковые испытания, а также численное моделирование методом конечных элементов. Лотковые испытания выполнены в масштабе 1:30, с использованием эквивалентных материалов, подобранных на

По подобия. линамического результатам основании закона лотковых испытаний были получены функциональные зависимости, характеризующие изменение деформационного состояния насыпи от приращения заданного смещения. Полученные зависимости отображают (вертикальные, горизонтальные леформации И результирующие) разных локаций от грунтового основания вблизи источника заданного смещения, через откос до гребня насыпи. Аналогичные зависимости были получены по результатам численного армирования. В обоих исследованиях представлены сравнения деформированных состояний неармированной и армированный моделей, на основании которых дана оценка влияния элементов армирования на общую устойчивость насыпи. Представлены сравнения результатов лотковых испытаний и численного моделирования. Сравнения представлены корректирующими действиями, которые выражены зависимостями между значениями коэффициентов

соотношений и расстоянием от источника заданного смещения. Коэффициенты соотношений в свою очередь отражают количественное различие деформированного состояния неармированной от армированной насыпи. Полученные результаты позволяют производить оценку общей устойчивости насыпи ЗШН экспресс-методом численного моделирования, качество которой будет улучшено введением корректирующих действий, полученных на основании модельных, но все же натурных испытаний.

Ключевые слова: геосинтетические материалы, георешетка, общая устойчивость, насыпь, лотковые испытания, численное моделирование.

Introduction. The history of soil reinforcement dates back to ancient times. The modern use of geosynthetics to improve the properties of soils dates back to the mid-sixties of the XX century in the United States (Kim, 2019: 10). It was then that geosynthetics materials made of various polymers, usually having high tensile strength, were proposed (Tang, 2020: 18). The history of the development of domestic production of geosynthetic material began in the 70-80s of the last century, when the Ministry of Transport Construction of the USSR issued a directive to expand the rational scope of their use in the construction of railways and highways (https://www.td-geo.ru/articles/ istorya razvitya geo).

As for the Kazakhstan producers of geosynthetic material, the first to assume this function was the company «Kaz Geo Synthetics», which has been engaged in the production of a wide range of geosynthetic materials since 2009 (https://k-g-s.kz). Also, with the intention of Kazakhstan's transition to the Eurocode in 2019, the normative documents of the Republic of Kazakhstan that define the requirements for the calculation, design and technology of geosynthetic reinforcement materials in construction, geotechnics were issued (Zhaksybekova, 2018: 6), (Zhussupbekov, 2016: 5).

As defined by ASTM D4439, a geosynthetic is a product made of polymeric material used with soil, rock, earth, or other geotechnical materials, representing part of a man-made project, structure, or system (ASTM D4439, 2018: 25).

Modern application of geosynthetic material in construction is very wide, as well as the range of its functional use (Ngo, 2019: 16). Thus, geosynthetic material can be used in retaining walls, foundations bases (soil cushions), in road pavement and of course in the structures of soil embankments (e.g., highways) (Lukpanov, 2016 a: 7), (Lukpanov, 2016 b, 4). The latter is a mechanically stable earth (MSE) (Özçelik, 2018: 8). Exactly this geotechnical structure will be considered in this article together with the functional feature of geosynthetics application as a reinforcement element.

The article considers the issue of assessing the stability of the soil dam (hereinafter embankment) ash storage collector (ASC) of the thermal power plant of TPP1, metallurgical plant in Temirtau (Kazakhstan) (Nguyen, 2018: 6). Accumulators require proper control, being the objects of increased danger (Guerra-Escobar, 2018: 6). However, these geotechnical structures periodically exhaust their operational resource, being subjected to irreversible deformations as a result of loss of stability. The latter leads to severe consequences, disruption of normal operation of the power plant, as well as significant pollution of the environment (Lu, 2021: 9), (Zhang, 2022: 18).

The purpose of the study is to assess the effect of reinforcement elements on the overall stability of the soil embankment.

In order to realize the goal, the following tasks were implemented:

- conducting model tests (or tray test) of the soil embankment;

- conducting numerical analysis (or finite element method – FEM) of the soil embankment.

In both cases, two comparable variants were considered: a soil embankment with reinforcement elements and a soil embankment without reinforcement.

Research materials and methods. The main research methods are follow:

- model (tray tests, Figure 1);

- numerical analysis in the software complex Plaxis 2D (see Results).

The tray tests were performed on a scale of 1:30, under laboratory conditions, using a metal tray. The metal tray is a container with shifting elements, emulating the movement of soil masses, as a result of their leaching. Thus, not the process of leaching itself is simulated, but its consequence - the movement of soil masses, resulting in the loss of stability of the embankment (Figure 1).

Numerical modeling was performed in the software package Plaxis 2D. The simulation of the computational situation of a real soil embankment was carried



a. Steel tray and reference system



c. Bench marks



b. Reinforced elements (geogrid)



d. Transducer

Figure 1. Conducting tray tests

In large or small-scale tests, which include tray tests, Newton's method of dynamic similarity is often used to simulate the soil, where the adjustment of physical and mechanical characteristics is carried out in proportion to the scale of the model.

The materials used in tray tests (embankment and foundational soil) are selected on the basis of the general law of dynamic similarity, taking into account gravitational effects and internal stresses.

$$\frac{N_m}{\gamma_m I} = \frac{N_r}{\gamma_r J} = K = in\upsilon; \qquad (1)$$

where K - similarity criterion; m; r – unit weight of model and full-scale soil; I, J – linear dimensions of model and full-scale embankment; Nm; Nr - value corresponding to different characteristics. The geogrid is modeled in the Plaxis software using the «Geotextile»

NEWS of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

command, which specifies a single parameter - the normal stiffness. Thus, the plane-strain object of the numerical simulation only accepts axial stiffness and cannot accept compressive forces.



Figure 1. Calculation model of loss of overall dam stability

Table 1 shows the results of the equivalent material calculations used in the flume tests and numerical simulations.

N⁰	Parameters	Natural	Equivalent
		material	material
1	Unit weight of soil, kN/m ³	16	17
2	Unit weight of wet soil, kN/m ³	18	20
3	Soil deformation modulus, MPa	18	0,64
4	Specific soil cohesion, kPa	7	0,25
5	Angle of internal friction of soil, degree	23	20
6	Dilatancy angle of soil, degree	0,0	0,0
7	Poisson's ratio of soil	0,35	0,35
8	Permeability in the horizontal direction of soil, m ³ /day	0,001	0,1
9	Permeability in the vertical direction of soil, m ³ /day	0,001	0,1
10	Geogrid axial stiffness	139,96 MN/m ²	360 кN/m

Table 1. Parameters of full-scale and equivalent material

The stability analysis of the models was performed on the basis of the deformation assessment of certain locations: Location A - in the immediate vicinity of the base fracture, at a distance of 6 m from the bottom of the embankment, Location B - the bottom of the embankment, Location C - in the middle part of the slope, Location D - the top of the embankment.

Results and discussions. Figure 2 shows the results of the model tray tests. The results are represented by the relationships between the prescribed displacement (caused by the displacement of the tray side walls) and the

embankment deformations (resulting deformations). Figure 2a shows the foundation soil deformations, and Figure 2b shows the deformations of the ASC embankment. Figure 3 shows a comparison of the deformation values of a reinforced embankment and an unreinforced embankment. Figure 3a shows comparisons of vertical deformations, Figure 3b shows horizontal deformations, and Figure 3c shows total deformations.

According to the results of model tray tests, values of the deformability of the soil embankment during displacement of the underlying soil base were obtained. The maximum deformability values were obviously observed at Locations A, i.e., at locations located in maximum proximity to the source of displacement (or prescribed displacement), and the minimum ones at Locations D or at locations as far away from the source as possible. The resulting maximum deformation at Locations A of the unreinforced model averaged 14.08 mm, while for the reinforced model, the same values were 13.50 mm.

Smaller than in point A, but still high strain values were observed in points B, locations at a horizontal distance of 20 cm from the source of the displacement. For the unreinforced model, the average resultant deformations are 3.13 mm, for the reinforced model 2.12 mm.



Figure 2. Dependence between the prescribed displacement and deformations

Minor soil displacement was observed at points C, locations located on the embankment slope. The average vertical deformation of the unreinforced model is 1.79 mm, while the same values for the reinforced model are only 0.26 mm. The effect of reinforcement is a 7-fold reduction in deformation, and the deformation of the embankment footing is 8 times greater than that of the reinforced slope, despite the relative proximity of the locations (3.5 m).

NEWS of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

Minimal deformation values were observed at the location of the embankment's edge, at points D. The average resultant deformations of the unreinforced model are 1.08 mm, while the same deformation values for the reinforced model are 0.03 mm. In this case there is a maximum effect of reinforcement, compared to the unreinforced model there is a 36-fold reduction in deformation. Compared to the deformation of the reinforced slope, there is an 8.5-fold reduction in the deformation of the slope.

The effect of reinforcement elements on the reduction of embankment deformation can be observed in the comparative graphs of Figure 3. The characteristic effect of reinforcement is observed in location C, where the vertical deformation variable is 0.0168, the horizontal one is 0, and the total deformation is 0.0163. A relatively smaller but also significant influence is found in location C: the variable vertical strain is 0.1026, the horizontal strain is 0.0896, and the total strain is 0.1036. Obviously, the minimum influence was found at locations A and C, where no reinforcement was provided: the vertical deformation variable is 1.0048 and 0.9211 (respectively), the horizontal is 1.0464 and 1.1365, and the resultant is 1.0375 and 0.7517.



Figure 3. Comparisons of deformations of reinforced and unreinforced embankments

Figure 4 shows the computational schemes of the FEM models. The displacement of ground masses is done by analogy with the results of the technical survey along the collapse line. The specified displacement was

applied to the underlying soil basement. In order to simulate soil failure, the collapse line was given an interface with a significantly underestimated coefficient equal to 0.1.



a – unreinforced model b – reinforced model

Figure 4. Calculation model of ash collector base collapse

Figure 5 shows the results of total displacement in the form of color isolines, and Figure 6 shows scaled deformations meshes of the models (a - unreinforced model, b - reinforced model). In general, the character of the stress-strain propagation in both cases is similar, but the quantitative values of displacements and stresses have differences.



a – unreinforced model b – reinforced model

Figure 5. Color isolines of total deformations



Figure 6. Scaled deformation meshes

NEWS of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

According to the comparison results, the average values of the resulting locational displacements A of the unreinforced tray test model were 14.08 mm, whereas the same values in Plaxis were 15.63 mm. The percentage difference was 11%. The location B values of the same indicators were 3.13 mm and 3.81 mm, a percentage difference of 22%. For location C, the values were 1.79 mm and 2.81 mm, a difference of 57%. For location D, the values were 1.58 mm and 2.54 mm, a difference of 135%. A similar trend was observed for the reinforced embankment: location A was 13.50 mm and 14.71 mm (tray test and FEM models), a difference of 9%; location B was 2.12 mm and 2.50 mm, 18%; location C was 0.26 mm and 0.56 mm, 117%; location D was 0.03 mm and 0.11 mm, 289%. In both cases, there is a tendency for the percentage divergence of the data to increase with distance from the excitation source.

The corrective actions can be expressed by the curves shown in Figure 7, where Figure 7a shows the correction ratios for vertical deformations, Figure 7b for horizontal deformations, and Figure 7c for total deformations. The obtained ratios can be taken as the correction indices of vertical, horizontal and resultant deformations depending on the distance to the source of a given displacement or in reality on the displacement of the underlying subgrade soils.



Figure 7. Corrective actions of FEM according to the results of tray tests

Conclusions. The following conclusions can be drawn from the research:

1. Model (or tray) tests at a scale of 1:30 and numerical analysis by finite element method were performed to assess the effect of geosynthetic reinforcement elements on the overall stability of the existing soil embankment.

2. The parameters of the soil and reinforcing materials of the model tests were selected on the basis of the law of dynamic similarity. To assess

the deformability, we selected locations (relative to which displacement measurements were made) located at different distances from the source of a given displacement.

3. The results of the tray tests of the embankment model are represented by the relationships between the prescribed soil displacement and the embankment deformations of the selected locations. In both cases (unreinforced and reinforced models), the maximum deformations were logically observed in the immediate vicinity of the source of the prescribed displacement and the minimum deformations at the maximum distance from it. The maximum influence of reinforcement was observed at locations D, where the vertical deformation variable was 0.0168, the horizontal deformation was 0, and the total deformation was 0.0163. The minimum influence, however, was found at locations A and C, where no reinforcement was involved: the vertical deformation variable is 1.0464 and 1.1365, and the resultant deformation is 1.0375 and 0.7517.

4. The results of numerical modeling, on the other hand, are represented by the dependencies between the specified soil displacement and the embankment deformations of the selected locations. In general, a similar trend in the deformed behavior of the embankment was revealed: the maximum vertical displacements in both cases (at the reinforced and reinforced embankments) were observed at points A, the minimum ones at points D. According to comparisons of tray tests and numerical simulation results, correction factors were obtained, which tend to increase (deviations) with distance from the source of the prescribed displacement.

5. In general, a methodology has been derived for assessing the deformed state of the embankment (for evaluating the overall stability) using finite element methods, the results of which are corrected based on model scale, but still in-situ testing.

Information about the authors:

Lukpanov Rauan Ermagambetovich – PhD, Associate Professor, Director of Scientific and Production Center «ENU-Lab», L.N. Gumilyov ENU, Munaytpasova 13, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan, Contact: +7 7011884444, e-mail: rauan_82@mail.ru, ORCID: 0000-0003-0085-9934;

Yenkebayeva Aigerim Seksembaykyzy – PhD student, L.N. Gumilev Eurasian National University, 13 Munaytpasova St., Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan, Contacts: +7 777 9518321, e-mail: aikowa_21@mail.ru, ORCID: 0000-0003-1036-9621;

Dyussembinov Duman Serikovich – Candidate of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, Department of Industrial and Civil Technology, L.N. Gumilev ENU, Munaytpasova 13, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan, Contacts: +7 7054283858, e-mail: dusembinov@mail.ru, ORCID: 0000-0001-6118-5238;

Sabitov Yerlan Yenzhilovich – Candidate of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, Head of the Department «Technology of Industrial and Civil», L.N. Gumilev ENU, Munaytpasova 13, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan, Contact: +7 7017735163, e-mail: e sabitov@mail.ru, ORCID: 0000-0003-3784-8657;

Tsygulyov Denis Vladimirovich – Candidate of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, Department «Design of Buildings and Structures», L.N. Gumilev ENU, Munaytpasova 13, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan,Contact: +7 7771512872, e-mail: denis_riza_72@mail.ru, ORCID: 0000-0002-7061-6992.

REFERENCES

https://www.td-geo.ru/articles/istorya_razvitya_geo. https://k-g-s.kz/.

ASTM D4439-18 «Standard terminology for geosynthetics».

Guerra-Escobar P. (2018). Reinforced embankments for the causeway for pont briwet project, penrhyndeaudreath, north wales, UK. Paper presented at the 11th International Conference on Geosynthetics 2018, ICG 2018, , 4 2630-2636.

Kim Y., Kotwal A.R., Cho B., Wilde J. & You B.H. (2019). Geosynthetic reinforced steep slopes: Current technology in the united states. Applied Sciences (Switzerland), 9(10) doi:10.3390/app9102008.

Lukpanov R.E. (2016). Laboratory modelling of soil testing embankment reinforced by geosynthetic elements. Paper presented at the GA 2016 - 6th Asian Regional Conference on Geosynthetics: Geosynthetics for Infrastructure Development, Proceedings, 392-398.

Lukpanov R.E. (2016). Laboratory modeling of soil dam reinforced by geosynthetic material. Paper presented at the Challenges and Innovations in Geotechnics - Proceedings of the 8th Asian Young Geotechnical Engineers Conference, 8AYGEC 2016, 159-162.

Lu L., Lin H., Wang Z., Xiao L., Ma S. & Arai K. (2021). Experimental and numerical investigations of reinforced soil wall subjected to impact loading. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering, 54(11), 5651-5666. doi:10.1007/s00603-021-02579-9.

Ngo T.P., Likitlersuang S. & Takahashi A. (2019). Performance of a geosynthetic cementitious composite mat for stabilising sandy slopes. Geosynthetics International, 26(3), 309-319. doi:10.1680/jgein.19.00020.

Nguyen T. & Yang K. (2018). Geosynthetic-reinforced soil slopes with different backfills and reinforcements subjected to rainfall infiltration. Paper presented at the 11th International Conference on Geosynthetics 2018, ICG 2018, , 4 3339-3353.

Özçelik H., Sadler J., Kiel R., Leaf C., Geier D., Kapita T.M., Vaciago G. (2018). Case study on the use of high hybrid MSE walls in mine industry. Paper presented at the 11th International Conference on Geosynthetics 2018, ICG 2018, 4 2958-2966.

Tang H. (2020). Application of geosynthetics in highway engineering doi: 10.1007/978-981-15-2349-6_59.

Zhaksybekova Z., Lukpanov R., Shakhmov Z. & Yenkebayev S. (2018). Test of ground embankment model with the use of geosynthetic elements of reinforcement to static external load. Paper presented at the 11th International Conference on Geosynthetics 2018, ICG 2018, 1 544-549.

Zhang J., Li X., Ding L. & Xiao Y. (2022). Reinforcement effect investigation of geogrids in the junction between new and existing subgrades in highway widening. Journal of Testing and Evaluation, 50(5) doi: 10.1520/JTE20210223.

Zhussupbekov A.Z., Tulebekova A.S., Lukpanov R. & Zhumadilov I.T. (2016). Comparison analysis of features in eurocode and kazakhstan norms requirements. Paper presented at the Challenges and Innovations in Geotechnics - Proceedings of the 8th Asian Young Geotechnical Engineers Conference, 8AYGEC 2016, 251-255.

МАЗМҰНЫ-СОДЕРЖАНИЕ-СОЛТЕЛТЅ

R.A. Abdulvaliyev, M.N. Kvyatkovskaya, L.M. Imangalieva,
KAOLINITE RAW MATERIALS OF KAZAKHSTAN AND THE METHOD OF THEIR BENEFICIATION
A.E. Abetov, Sh.B. Yessirkepova, J. Curto Ma
GRAVITY FIELD TRANSFORMS AT THE EXPLORATION FOR
HYDROCARBON FIELD IN THE SOUTHERN PART OF THE USTYURT REGION
E.B. Abikak, B.K. Kenzhaliev
DEVELOPMENT OF AN INTEGRATED TECHNOLOGY INTENDED TO
PROCESS PYRITE SLAG USING CHEMICAL PRE-ACTIVATION
R.Zh. Abuova, D.K. Suleyev, G.A. Burshukova
STUDY OF DAMPING PROPERTIES OF ALLOYED STEELS WITH
CERAMIC-METALLIC NANOSTRUCTURED COATING FOR
CRITICAL PARTS
N.R. Akhundova
CHANGE OF HYDRODYNAMIC PRESSURES IN THE WELLBORE OF
INCLINED-HORIZONTAL WELLS DURING DRILLING MUD
CIRCULATION
M. Bissengaliev, R. Bayamirova, A. Togasheva, A. Zholbasarova,
Zh. Zaydemova
ANALYSIS OF COMPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
PARAFFINIZATION OF BOREHOLE EQUIPMENT AND MEASURES
TO PREVENT THEM
T.I. Espolov, A.G. Rau, N.N. Balgabayev, E.D. Zhaparkulova, Josef Mosiej
GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF ALLUVIAL SEDIMENTS OF RIVER
TERRACES AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF IRRIGATION
SYSTEMS

Y.M. Kalybekova, A.K. Zauirbek, I.S. Seitasanov, U.Q. Onglassyn[*] INCREASING WATER PRODUCTIVITY IN IRRIGATION WITH REGARD TO GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONDITIONS101
Z.S. Kenzhetaev, T.A. Kuandykov, K.S. Togizov, M.R. Abdraimova, M.A. Nurbekova
SELECTION OF RATIONAL PARAMETERS FOR OPENING AND
DRILLING OF TECHNOLOGICAL WELLS UNDERGROUND URANIUM LEACHING
R.A. Kozbagarov, K.K. Shalbayev, M.S. Zhiyenkozhayev, N.S. Kamzanov, G.T. Naimanova
DESIGN OF CUTTING ELEMENTS OF REUSABLE MOTOR GRADERS IN MINING
T.A. Kuandykov, T.D. Karmanov, E.I. Kuldeyev, K.K. Yelemessov, B.Z. Kaliev
NEW TECHNOLOGY OF UNCOVER THE ORE HORIZON BY THE
METHOD OF IN-SITU LEACHING FOR URANIUM MINING142
E. Orymbetov, G.E. Orymbetova, A.E. Khussanov, T.E. Orymbetov, B.E. Orymbetov
SECTIONING OF PETROLEUM GAS ADSORPTION DRYING155
A.M. Serikbayeva, M.S. Kalmakhanova, H.T. Gomes, B.B.Shagraeva, N.T.Shertaeva
METHODS OF PREPARATION AND PHYSICO-CHEMICAL
CHARACTERISTICS OF ORGANIC MODIFIED CLAYS WITH GRAFTED ORGANOALOXIDES 166
Zh. Zhantayev, D. Talgarbayeva, A. Kairanbayeva, D. Panyukova, K Turekulova
COMPLEX PROCESSING OF EARTH REMOTE SENSING DATA FOR
PREDICTION OF LANDSLIDE PROCESSES ON ROADS IN MOUNTAIN
AREA
S.A. Istekova, A.K. Issagaliyeva, M.M. Aliakbar
BUILDING THE ONLINE GEOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL
DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR HYDROCARBON FIELDS
IN KAZAKHSTAN

R.E. Lukpanov, A.S. Yenkebayeva, D.V. Tsygulyov, Y.Y. Sabitov,
D.S. DYUSSEIIDIIIOV
ASSESSMENT OF ASH-STOKAGE COULECTOR STABILITY USING
GEOSYNTHETIC KEINFORCEMENT ELEMENTS BY TRAY TESTING
AND NUMERICAL MODELING212
T.K. Salikhov, D.K. Tulegenova, Zh.G. Berdenov, R.S. Sarsengaliyev,
T.S. Salikhova
STUDY OF THE SOIL COVER OF ECOSYSTEMS OF THE
CHINGIRLAUS DISTRICT OF THE WESTERN KAZAKHSTAN REGION
ON THE BASIS OF THE APPLICATION OF GIS TECHNOLOGIES226
A.R. Fazylova, G. Balbayev, B. Tultayev
SYSTEM OF SHORT-TERM FORECASTING OF WIND TURBINE
OUTPUT POWER CONSUMPTION
O.G. Khaitov, A.A. Umirzokov, E.N. Yusupkhojaeva,
S.P. Abdurakhmonova, N.G. Kholmatova
ASSESSMENT OF THE DENSITY OF THE WELL GRID IN THE
SOUTHEASTERN PART OF THE BUKHARA-KHIVA REGION253
K.T. Sherov, S.O. Tussupova, A.V. Mazdubay, M.R. Sikhimbayev,
B.N. Absadykov
INCREASING DURABILITY OF THERMO-FRICTION TOOLS
BY SURFACING

Publication Ethics and Publication Malpractice in the journals of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan

For information on Ethics in publishing and Ethical guidelines for journal publication see http://www.elsevier.com/publishingethics and http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/ethics.

Submission of an article to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan implies that the described work has not been published previously (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis or as an electronic preprint, see http:// www.elsevier.com/postingpolicy), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out, and that, if accepted, it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, in English or in any other language, including electronically without the written consent of the copyright-holder. In particular, translations into English of papers already published in another language are not accepted.

No other forms of scientific misconduct are allowed, such as plagiarism, falsification, fraudulent data, incorrect interpretation of other works, incorrect citations, etc. The National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan follows the Code of Conduct of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), and follows the COPE Flowcharts for Resolving Cases of Suspected Misconduct (http://publicationethics.org/files/u2/New_Code.pdf). To verify originality, your article may be checked by the Cross Check originality detection service http:// www.elsevier.com/editors/plagdetect.

The authors are obliged to participate in peer review process and be ready to provide corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. All authors of a paper should have significantly contributed to the research.

The reviewers should provide objective judgments and should point out relevant published works which are not yet cited. Reviewed articles should be treated confidentially. The reviewers will be chosen in such a way that there is no conflict of interests with respect to the research, the authors and/or the research funders.

The editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject or accept a paper, and they will only accept a paper when reasonably certain. They will preserve anonymity of reviewers and promote publication of corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed. The acceptance of a paper automatically implies the copyright transfer to the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

The Editorial Board of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan will monitor and safeguard publishing ethics.

Правила оформления статьи для публикации в журнале смотреть на сайтах:

www:nauka-nanrk.kz http://www.geolog-technical.kz/index.php/en/ ISSN 2518-170X (Online), ISSN 2224-5278 (Print)

Редакторы: М.С. Ахметова, А. Ботанқызы, Р.Жәлиқызы, Д.С. Аленов Верстка на компьютере Г.Д.Жадыранова

Подписано в печать 15.06.2022. Формат 70х90¹/₁₆. Бумага офсетная. Печать – ризограф. 17,5 п.л. Тираж 300. Заказ 3.

Национальная академия наук РК 050010, Алматы, ул. Шевченко, 28, т. 272-13-19